## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

United States of America.

Criminal No. 05-185(1) (DWF/JSM)

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER AND MEMORANDUM

Kyle Wakeen Young a/k/a Sarge,

Defendant.

David P. Steinkamp, Assistant United States Attorney, United States Attorney's Office, counsel for Plaintiff.

Kyle Wakeen Young, *Pro Se*, Defendant.

Andrew H. Mohring, Assistant Federal Defender, Office of the Federal Defender, counsel for Defendant.

This matter is before the Court pursuant to Defendant Kyle Wakeen Young's ("Defendant") request for a reduction of his sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2), asserting that he is entitled to a reduction of his Guidelines sentence due to a change by the United States Sentencing Commission regarding the sentencing disparity between powder and crack cocaine. The Government opposes Defendant's motion.

Based upon the submissions of the parties, the Court having reviewed the contents of the file in this matter and its procedural history, and the Court being otherwise duly advised in the premises, the Court hereby enters the following:

**ORDER** 

1. Defendant Kyle Wakeen Young's motion for a reduction in his sentence

(Doc. Nos. 25 and 26) is respectfully **DENIED**.

Dated: June 22, 2009

s/Donovan W. Frank

DONOVAN W. FRANK

Judge of United States District Court

**MEMORANDUM** 

On March 21, 2006, the Court sentenced the above-named Defendant to a period

of 120 months with the United States Bureau of Prisons. The Court sentenced the

Defendant based on a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 10 years or

120 months, the lowest possible Guidelines sentence available to the Court and the

parties, then and now, because of the mandatory minimum established of 120 months.

As noted by the United States in their position pleading to the Court, a defendant

who receives the mandatory minimum term of imprisonment is not eligible to receive a

reduction of a sentence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). United States v. Jones, 523

F.3d 881, 882 (8th Cir. 2008). Consequently, the Defendant is not entitled to a sentence

reduction below 120 months. For this reason, the Court has denied Defendant's motion

for a reduction of sentence.

D.W.F.

2